On binary relations without non-identical endomorphisms

Apoloniusz Tyszka

Summary. On every set A there is a rigid binary relation, i.e. such a relation R that there is no homomorphism $(A,R)\to(A,R)$ except the identity (Vopěnka et al. [1965]). We state two conjectures which strengthen this theorem.

CONJECTURE 1. If κ is an infinite cardinal number and card $A \leqslant 2^{2^{\kappa}}$ then there exists a relation $R \subseteq A \times A$ which satisfies

$$\forall_{\substack{x,y\,\in\, A\\ x\neq y}}\ \exists_{\substack{\{x\}\,\subseteq\, A(x,y)\,\subseteq\, A\\ \text{card } A(x,y)\,\leqslant\,\kappa}}\ \forall_{\substack{f:A(x,y)\to A\\ f(x)=y}}\quad f \text{ is not a homomorphism of } R.$$

CONJECTURE 2. If $\kappa\neq 0$ is a limit cardinal number and card $A \leqslant 2^{\sup\{2^{\alpha}:\,\alpha\in\text{Card},\,\alpha<\kappa\}}$ then there exists a relation $R \subseteq A \times A$ which satisfies

$$\forall_{\substack{x,y\,\in\, A\\ x\neq y}}\ \exists_{\substack{\{x\}\,\subseteq\, A(x,y)\,\subseteq\, A\\ \text{card } A(x,y)\,<\,\kappa}}\ \forall_{\substack{f:A(x,y)\to A\\ f(x)=y}}\quad f \text{ is not a homomorphism of } R.$$

Conjecture 2 is valid for $\kappa=\omega$ (Tyszka [1994]). We prove Conjecture 1 for $\kappa=\omega$.

On every set A there is a rigid binary relation, i.e. such a relation R that there is no homomorphism $(A,R)\to(A,R)$ except the identity (see [4] and [1]). The following two conjectures were stated in [2] in a more complicated form.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): 03E05, 08A35.

Keywords: rigid binary relation, endomorphism.

Abbreviated title: Relations without non-identical endomorphisms.

Conjecture 1. If κ is an infinite cardinal number and card $A \leqslant 2^{2^{\kappa}}$ then there exists a relation $R \subseteq A \times A$ which satisfies the following condition (∗):

$$(*)\ \forall_{\substack{x,y \in A \\ x \neq y}} \ \exists_{\substack{\{x\} \subseteq A(x,y) \subseteq A \\ \text{card } A(x,y) \leqslant \kappa}} \ \forall_{\substack{f: A(x,y) \to A \\ f(x)=y}} \quad f \text{ is not a homomorphism of } R.$$

Remark 1 ([2]). If $R \subseteq A \times A$ satisfies the condition (∗) then R is rigid. If κ is an infinite cardinal number and a relation $R \subseteq A \times A$ satisfies the condition (∗) then card $A \leqslant 2^{2^{\kappa}}$.

Conjecture 2. If $\kappa \neq 0$ is a limit cardinal number and card $A \leqslant 2^{\sup\{2^{\alpha}:\, \alpha \in \text{Card},\, \alpha < \kappa\}}$ then there exists a relation $R \subseteq A \times A$ which satisfies the following condition (∗∗):

$$(**)\ \forall_{\substack{x,y \in A \\ x \neq y}} \ \exists_{\substack{\{x\} \subseteq A(x,y) \subseteq A \\ \text{card } A(x,y) < \kappa}} \ \forall_{\substack{f: A(x,y) \to A \\ f(x)=y}} \quad f \text{ is not a homomorphism of } R.$$

Remark 2 ([2]). If $R \subseteq A \times A$ satisfies the condition (∗∗) then R is rigid. If $\kappa \neq 0$ is a limit cardinal number and a relation $R \subseteq A \times A$ satisfies the condition (∗∗) then card $A \leqslant 2^{\sup\{2^{\alpha}:\, \alpha \in \text{Card},\, \alpha < \kappa\}}$.

Theorem 1 ([2]). Conjecture 2 is valid for $\kappa=\omega$.

In this paper we prove Conjecture 1 for $\kappa=\omega$. We begin from introductory results. Let $p_0=(0,0), p_1=(1,0), p_2=(\frac{1}{2},\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2})$, $U:=\{(a,b)\in\mathbb{R}^2: |a-b|=1\}$, $\Phi := \{R \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2\times\mathbb{R}^2:\ R\cup R^{-1}=U,\ R\cap R^{-1}=\{(p_0,p_1),(p_1,p_0),(p_0,p_2),(p_2,p_0)\},(p_1,p_2)\in R\}$.

Observation 1. If $S,Z\in\Phi$, $\{p_0,p_1,p_2\} \subseteq X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$, $f:X\to\mathbb{R}^2$ and $f:\langle X,S\rangle\to\langle\mathbb{R}^2,Z\rangle$ is a homomorphism, then $f(p_0)=p_0$, $f(p_1)=p_1$, $f(p_2)=p_2$.

Observation 2. If $S,Z\in\Phi$, $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$, $f:X\to\mathbb{R}^2$ and $f:\langle X,S\rangle\to\langle\mathbb{R}^2,Z\rangle$ is a homomorphism, then f is a homomorphism of $S\cup S^{-1}=Z\cup Z^{-1}=U$ i.e. f preserves all unit distances.

Lemma ([3]). If $x,y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ $(n>1)$ and $\varepsilon>0$ then there exists a finite set $T_{xy}(\varepsilon) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ containing x and y such that each map $f:T_{xy}(\varepsilon)\to\mathbb{R}^n$ preserving all unit distances satisfies $||f(x)-f(y)|-|x-y|| \leqslant \varepsilon$.

Note. Let us consider the finite set $T_{xy}(\varepsilon) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ from the above Lemma. For each $t \in T_{xy}(\varepsilon)$ there exist points $t(0),t(1),\dots,t(m(t)) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ $(m(t)\in\omega)$ satisfying: $t(0)=t$, $t(m(t))=x$, $|t(i)-t(i+1)|=1$ $(0\leqslant i\leqslant m(t)-1)$. The finite set

$$\widetilde{T}_{xy}(\varepsilon):=\bigcup_{t\in T_{xy}(\varepsilon)}\{t(0),t(1),\dots,t(m(t))\}$$

has the property from the Lemma and the graph U is connected on $\widetilde{T}_{xy}(\varepsilon)$.

The following Theorem 2 was proved in [2] in a more complicated way. Theorem 2 immediately implies a weaker version of Theorem 1.

Theorem 2. If $R\in\Phi$ then R satisfies the condition (**) for $\kappa=\omega$.

Proof. Assume that $R\in\Phi$, $x,y \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $x\neq y$. Since p_0,p_1,p_2 are not collinear there exists $i\in\{0,1,2\}$ satisfying $|p_i-x|\neq|p_i-y|$. Let

$$\mathbb{R}^2(x,y):=\{p_0,p_1,p_2\}\cup T_{p_ix}\left(\frac{||p_i-x|-|p_i-y||}{2}\right).$$

The set $\mathbb{R}^2(x,y)$ is finite. Assume that $f:\mathbb{R}^2(x,y)\to\mathbb{R}^2$ is a homomorphism of R. We need to prove that $f(x)\neq y$. According to Observation 1 $f(p_i)=p_i$, by Observation 2 f preserves all unit distances. From this, applying the Lemma we obtain

$$|p_i-f(x)|=|f(p_i)-f(x)| \in \left[|p_i-x| - \frac{||p_i-x|-|p_i-y||}{2}, |p_i-x| + \frac{||p_i-x|-|p_i-y||}{2}\right].$$

On the other hand

$$|p_i-y| \notin \left[|p_i-x| - \frac{||p_i-x|-|p_i-y||}{2}, |p_i-x| + \frac{||p_i-x|-|p_i-y||}{2}\right].$$

Therefore $f(x)\neq y$. This completes the proof.

We are now in a position to formulate the main result.

Theorem 3. If $\emptyset \neq \Psi \subseteq \Phi$ then the relation $R_\Psi \subseteq (\mathbb{R}^2\times\Psi) \times (\mathbb{R}^2\times\Psi)$ defined by the following formula

$$\forall\ x,y \in \mathbb{R}^2\ \forall\ S,Z \in \Psi\ \Big(((x,S),(y,Z)) \in R_\Psi \Leftrightarrow (x,y) \in S=Z\Big)$$

satisfies the condition (*) for $\kappa=\omega$.

Proof. Assume that $(x,S),(y,Z) \in \mathbb{R}^2\times\Psi$ and $(x,S)\neq(y,Z)$. Let $\pi:\{S\}\to\{Z\}$.

First case: $x\neq y$. Since p_0,p_1,p_2 are not collinear there exists $i\in\{0,1,2\}$ satisfying $|p_i-x|\neq|p_i-y|$. Let

$$(\mathbb{R}^2\times\Psi)((x,S),(y,Z)) := \left(\{p_0,p_1,p_2\}\cup\tilde{T}_{p_ix}\left(\frac{||p_i-x|-|p_i-y||}{2}\right)\right) \times \{S\}.$$

The set $(\mathbb{R}^2\times\Psi)((x,S),(y,Z))$ is finite. Suppose, on the contrary, that $f:(\mathbb{R}^2\times\Psi)((x,S),(y,Z))\to\mathbb{R}^2\times\Psi$ is a homomorphism of R_Ψ and $f((x,S))=(y,Z)$. By the Note the graph $R_\Psi\cup R_\Psi^{-1}$ is connected on $(\mathbb{R}^2\times\Psi)((x,S),(y,Z))$. Therefore f maps

$$\left(\{p_0,p_1,p_2\}\cup\tilde{T}_{p_ix}\left(\frac{||p_i-x|-|p_i-y||}{2}\right)\right) \times \{S\}$$

into $\mathbb{R}^2\times\{Z\}$. From this, there is a uniquely determined transformation

$$\bar{f} : \{p_0,p_1,p_2\}\cup\tilde{T}_{p_ix}\left(\frac{||p_i-x|-|p_i-y||}{2}\right) \to \mathbb{R}^2$$

satisfying: $f=\langle\bar{f},\pi\rangle$, $\bar{f}(x)=y$. Obviously

$$\bar{f} : \left\langle \{p_0,p_1,p_2\}\cup\tilde{T}_{p_ix}\left(\frac{||p_i-x|-|p_i-y||}{2}\right) , S \right\rangle \to \left\langle \mathbb{R}^2 , Z \right\rangle$$

is a homomorphism. According to Observation 1 $\bar{f}(p_i)=p_i$, by Observation 2 $\bar{f}$ preserves all unit distances. From this, applying the Note and the Lemma we obtain

$$|p_i-\bar{f}(x)|=|\bar{f}(p_i)-\bar{f}(x)| \in \left[|p_i-x| - \frac{||p_i-x|-|p_i-y||}{2} , |p_i-x| + \frac{||p_i-x|-|p_i-y||}{2}\right].$$

On the other hand

$$|p_i-y| \notin \left[|p_i-x| - \frac{||p_i-x|-|p_i-y||}{2} , |p_i-x| + \frac{||p_i-x|-|p_i-y||}{2}\right].$$

Therefore $\bar{f}(x)\neq y$. This contradicts our assumption.

Second case: $x=y$ so $S\neq Z$. Since $S\neq Z$ there exist $u,v \in \mathbb{R}^2$ such that $|u-v|=1$, $(u,v)\in S$, $(v,u)\notin S$, $(v,u)\in Z$, $(u,v)\notin Z$. There exist points $x_0,x_1,\dots,x_k \in \mathbb{R}^2$ $(k\in\omega)$ satisfying: $x_0=x$, $x_k=p_0$, $|x_i-x_{i+1}|=1$ $(0\leqslant i\leqslant k-1)$. Let

$$(\mathbb{R}^2\times\Psi)((x,S),(y,Z)) := \left(\{x_0,x_1,\dots,x_k\} \cup \bigcup_{\substack{j\in\omega \\ i\in\{0,1,2\}}} \tilde{T}_{p_iu}\left(\frac{1}{j}\right) \cup \tilde{T}_{p_iv}\left(\frac{1}{j}\right) \right) \times \{S\}.$$

The set $(\mathbb{R}^2\times\Psi)((x,S),(y,Z))$ is countable. Suppose, on the contrary, that $f:(\mathbb{R}^2\times\Psi)((x,S),(y,Z))\to\mathbb{R}^2\times\Psi$ is a homomorphism of R_Ψ and $f((x,S))=(y,Z)$. By the Note the graph $R_\Psi\cup R_\Psi^{-1}$ is connected on $(\mathbb{R}^2\times\Psi)((x,S),(y,Z))$. Therefore f maps

$$\left(\{x_0,x_1,\ldots,x_k\} \cup \bigcup_{\substack{j\in\omega \\ i\in\{0,1,2\}}} \tilde{T}_{p_i u}\left(\frac{1}{j}\right) \cup \tilde{T}_{p_i v}\left(\frac{1}{j}\right) \right) \times \{S\}$$

into $\mathbb{R}^2\times\{Z\}$. From this, there is a uniquely determined transformation

$$\bar{f} : \{x_0,x_1,\ldots,x_k\} \cup \bigcup_{\substack{j\in\omega \\ i\in\{0,1,2\}}} \tilde{T}_{p_i u}\left(\frac{1}{j}\right) \cup \tilde{T}_{p_i v}\left(\frac{1}{j}\right) \to \mathbb{R}^2$$

satisfying: $f=\langle\bar{f},\pi\rangle$, $\bar{f}(x)=y$. Obviously

$$\bar{f} : \left\langle \{x_0,x_1,\ldots,x_k\} \cup \bigcup_{\substack{j\in\omega \\ i\in\{0,1,2\}}} \tilde{T}_{p_i u}\left(\frac{1}{j}\right) \cup \tilde{T}_{p_i v}\left(\frac{1}{j}\right) , S \right\rangle \to \left\langle \mathbb{R}^2 , Z \right\rangle$$

is a homomorphism. By Observation 2 $\bar{f}$ preserves all unit distances. Therefore, by the Note and the Lemma $\bar{f}$ preserves distances $|p_0-u|$, $|p_1-u|$, $|p_2-u|$, $|p_0-v|$, $|p_1-v|$, $|p_2-v|$. On the other hand, according to Observation 1 $\bar{f}(p_0)=p_0$, $\bar{f}(p_1)=p_1$, $\bar{f}(p_2)=p_2$. From this $\bar{f}(u)=u$ and $\bar{f}(v)=v$. Since f is a homomorphism of R_Ψ and $(u,v)\in S$ we conclude that $((\bar{f}(u),\pi(S)),(\bar{f}(v),\pi(S))) \in R_\Psi$ i.e. $(u,v)\in Z$. This contradicts our assumption.

We have proved Theorem 3.

Corollary. Conjecture 1 is valid for $\kappa=\omega$.

Proof. Assume that $\kappa=\omega$. According to Theorem 3 if $2^\omega \leqslant \text{card } A \leqslant 2^{2^\omega}$ then there exists a relation $R \subseteq A \times A$ which satisfies the condition (*). On the other hand, in virtue of Theorem 1 if card $A \leqslant 2^{\sup\{2^\alpha:\,\alpha\in\text{Card},\,\alpha<\omega\}} = 2^\omega$ then there exists a relation $R \subseteq A \times A$ which satisfies the stronger condition (**), so the condition (*) holds too.

References

1. A. Pultr and V. Trnková, *Combinatorial, algebraic and topological representations of groups, semigroups and categories*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1980.

2. A. Tyszka, Some remarks on endomorphism rigid relations, *Univ. Iagel. Acta Math.* 31 (1994) pp.7-20.

3. A. Tyszka, Discrete versions of the Beckman-Quarles theorem, *Aequationes Math.* 59 (2000) pp.124-133.

4. P. Vopěnka, A. Pultr and Z. Hedrlin, A rigid relation exists on any set, *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae* 6 (1965), pp.149-155.

A. Tyszka
Technical Faculty
Hugo Kollataj University
Balicka 104
PL-30-149 Krakow
Poland
E-mail: *rttyszka@cyf-kr.edu.pl*